

DR. KEYSER is a physician of over thirty years experience, and a graduate of the Jefferson Medical College and the University of Medicine and Surgery at Philadelphia.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD.

Dear Sir: In regard to the question asked me by you in your letter of the 10th inst., I have had and sold the article in various forms for the past thirty years. I do not think there is any form of preparation of it that I have not used, or known to be used, in the various diseases where such medicine would be indicated. You are aware, as well as myself, that it has been extensively employed in the various diseases of the bladder and kidneys, and the reputation it has acquired, in my judgment, is warranted by the facts.

I have seen and used, as before stated, every form of Buchu - the powdered leaves, the simple decoction, tincture, fluid extract - and I am not cognizant of any preparation of that kind at all equal to yours. Twelve years' experience ought, I think, to give me the right to judge of its merits, and with respect to purity I give you precedence over all others. I do not value a thing according to its bulk, if I did after Buchu would come under, but I hold to the doctrine that bulk and quantity do not make up value - if they did a sugar pill would be worth more than a gold dollar.

Respectfully yours, &c.,
GEO. H. KEYSER, M. D.,
No. 140 Wood-st., Pittsburgh, Pa.

August 11, 1865.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Dr. H. T. HELMBOLD'S FLUID EXTRACT BUCHU.

Philadelphia

VOL. XXV. No. 7711. NEW-YORK, SATURDAY, DECEMBER 23, 1865. PRICE FOUR CENTS.

FOREFATHERS' DAY.

ANNIVERSARY DINNER OF THE NEW-ENGLAND SOCIETY.

ADDRESS BY GEN. HANCOCK.

Letters From Gen. Howard, Sec. Seward, and Others.

Yesterday was the sixtieth anniversary of the New-England Society, and this was celebrated by the usual annual dinner, which has come to be so prominent a feature of the year.

The dinner of the year was given at Belmont's, corner of Fourteenth-st. and Fifth-ave., and was most sumptuous and entertaining.

THE EVENT had more merriment than usual. It was not merely an assemblage of the natives of a particular section, but of the natives of a particular section, and with a feeling, but it was also a convocation of the grand New-England elements of enterprise, go-ahead-ness, shrewdness, thrift, honesty and success.

THE GUESTS most of whom were assembled at 6 o'clock, in the second-floor drawing-room of the Belmont establishment, were about 500 in number, and comprised many very distinguished gentlemen.

Among those present were the officers of the New-England Society, elected at the annual meeting of the New-England Society, &c.

President - Hon. E. D. Morgan. First Vice-President - Joseph H. Choate. Second Vice-President - C. A. Condit. Secretary - W. N. Blackman. &c.

THE DINNER HALL was the grand hall of the Belmont establishment, comprising almost the entire second floor. It was a magnificent room, large, lofty, lighted in its appointments; the windows hung with green damask, and otherwise richly decorated; three chandeliers, skylight in the middle of the roof, and 150 mirrors.

THE RECEPTION was in number, and all of them were elegantly decorated. It would be extremely difficult to describe in their full variety the ornaments in confectionery, sugar, fruit and delicious sweetmeats which crowned these festive tables.

THE BANQUET was the grand hall of the Belmont establishment, comprising almost the entire second floor. It was a magnificent room, large, lofty, lighted in its appointments; the windows hung with green damask, and otherwise richly decorated; three chandeliers, skylight in the middle of the roof, and 150 mirrors.

THE RECEPTION was in number, and all of them were elegantly decorated. It would be extremely difficult to describe in their full variety the ornaments in confectionery, sugar, fruit and delicious sweetmeats which crowned these festive tables.

THE BANQUET was the grand hall of the Belmont establishment, comprising almost the entire second floor. It was a magnificent room, large, lofty, lighted in its appointments; the windows hung with green damask, and otherwise richly decorated; three chandeliers, skylight in the middle of the roof, and 150 mirrors.

THE RECEPTION was in number, and all of them were elegantly decorated. It would be extremely difficult to describe in their full variety the ornaments in confectionery, sugar, fruit and delicious sweetmeats which crowned these festive tables.

THE BANQUET was the grand hall of the Belmont establishment, comprising almost the entire second floor. It was a magnificent room, large, lofty, lighted in its appointments; the windows hung with green damask, and otherwise richly decorated; three chandeliers, skylight in the middle of the roof, and 150 mirrors.

THE RECEPTION was in number, and all of them were elegantly decorated. It would be extremely difficult to describe in their full variety the ornaments in confectionery, sugar, fruit and delicious sweetmeats which crowned these festive tables.

THE BANQUET was the grand hall of the Belmont establishment, comprising almost the entire second floor. It was a magnificent room, large, lofty, lighted in its appointments; the windows hung with green damask, and otherwise richly decorated; three chandeliers, skylight in the middle of the roof, and 150 mirrors.

THE RECEPTION was in number, and all of them were elegantly decorated. It would be extremely difficult to describe in their full variety the ornaments in confectionery, sugar, fruit and delicious sweetmeats which crowned these festive tables.

THE BANQUET was the grand hall of the Belmont establishment, comprising almost the entire second floor. It was a magnificent room, large, lofty, lighted in its appointments; the windows hung with green damask, and otherwise richly decorated; three chandeliers, skylight in the middle of the roof, and 150 mirrors.

THE RECEPTION was in number, and all of them were elegantly decorated. It would be extremely difficult to describe in their full variety the ornaments in confectionery, sugar, fruit and delicious sweetmeats which crowned these festive tables.

THE BANQUET was the grand hall of the Belmont establishment, comprising almost the entire second floor. It was a magnificent room, large, lofty, lighted in its appointments; the windows hung with green damask, and otherwise richly decorated; three chandeliers, skylight in the middle of the roof, and 150 mirrors.

THE RECEPTION was in number, and all of them were elegantly decorated. It would be extremely difficult to describe in their full variety the ornaments in confectionery, sugar, fruit and delicious sweetmeats which crowned these festive tables.

RECONSTRUCTION.

DEBATE BETWEEN MESSRS. RAYMOND AND BINGHAM.

What the South is Bound to Concede.

CONGRESS AND THE PRESIDENT.

Special Dispatch to The N. Y. Tribune.

The following is the prominent portion of the colloquy occurring between Messrs. Raymond and Bingham in the House yesterday:

MR. BINGHAM - The only practical point of my inquiry is this: Does the gentleman admit that South Carolina has now the right, under the Constitution, to representation in Congress as a State of the Union because her relations to the Government are under the Constitution?

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

RECONSTRUCTION.

DEBATE BETWEEN MESSRS. RAYMOND AND BINGHAM.

What the South is Bound to Concede.

CONGRESS AND THE PRESIDENT.

Special Dispatch to The N. Y. Tribune.

The following is the prominent portion of the colloquy occurring between Messrs. Raymond and Bingham in the House yesterday:

MR. BINGHAM - The only practical point of my inquiry is this: Does the gentleman admit that South Carolina has now the right, under the Constitution, to representation in Congress as a State of the Union because her relations to the Government are under the Constitution?

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

RECONSTRUCTION.

DEBATE BETWEEN MESSRS. RAYMOND AND BINGHAM.

What the South is Bound to Concede.

CONGRESS AND THE PRESIDENT.

Special Dispatch to The N. Y. Tribune.

The following is the prominent portion of the colloquy occurring between Messrs. Raymond and Bingham in the House yesterday:

MR. BINGHAM - The only practical point of my inquiry is this: Does the gentleman admit that South Carolina has now the right, under the Constitution, to representation in Congress as a State of the Union because her relations to the Government are under the Constitution?

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. BINGHAM - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

MR. RAYMOND - I have already said, sir, and said it as clearly and emphatically as I can, that we have a right to demand, and that we are in duty bound to demand, certain concessions from all the States in rebellion.

WEDNESDAY.

THE STRONG DIVORCE CASE. NINETEENTH DAY. THE EVIDENCE CONCLUDED.

Mrs. HILLOCKER'S Testimony Impeached.

In this morning's TRIBUNE we finish the evidence in the Strong Divorce Trial. Counsel on both sides rested their case at 12 o'clock yesterday evening, and according to arrangement will "sit up" on Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday of next week, at which the Judge will deliver his charge. The labors of the jury then commence, so that probably the last day of the year will witness the dismissal of the case from the public eye, leaving it to the discretion of the jury to award the divorce.

MR. STRONG - I am sorry the gentleman seems determined to get up an issue concerning the action, past or future, of the President upon this subject. I am not aware that I have given him any provocation for so doing.

MR. BINGHAM - The gentleman will excuse me. I am sorry to see the gentleman assume that he alone represents the President.

MR. STRONG - I certainly have assumed nothing of the kind. I am very much surprised to hear the gentleman assume to say anything of the sort. I said nothing about the action of the President except to state that I raised no question as to his right to impose any action upon him. I do not know that I gave the gentleman from Ohio the slightest occasion to assert here, with much truth as he has shown, the paramount power of Congress over the President. I raised no question and made no remark on the subject.

MR. BINGHAM - The gentleman made the remark here that I was trying to get up an issue with the President, which he said, replied, as might be expected, that I was trying to get up an issue with the President. I am not aware that I have given him any provocation for so doing.

MR. STRONG - I did not assume, in any way whatever, anything of the kind.

MR. BINGHAM - And I respectfully deny the gentleman's assertion that I seek to make an issue with the President.

Here the hammer fell.

MR. CONKLING - Inasmuch as my colleague has been very much interrupted, I ask if the gentleman will permit me to extend my remarks.

MR. STRONG - As the gentleman from New York evidently intended to reply to the gentleman from Pennsylvania, I will not interrupt him.

MR. STRONG - I hope he will be allowed to go on uninterrupted.

MR. Raymond then concluded his speech without interruption.

THE WINDING.

The window was then produced in Court. The glass was broken, admitting light but not transparent.

MR. JOSEPH P. DALY'S TESTIMONY.

Mr. Daly, a lawyer, testified that he resided at No. 72 Horatio-st., and did not know Mrs. Potter.

MR. DALY'S TESTIMONY CONTINUED.

Mr. Daly - I know nothing of the character of the house, I called at Mr. Potter's when she was sick in 1863, on one occasion, and she was sitting up in a chair, sick, on another occasion, and she was sitting up in a chair, sick, on another occasion, and she was sitting up in a chair, sick, on another occasion.

MR. DALY'S TESTIMONY CONTINUED.

Mr. Daly - I know nothing of the character of the house, I called at Mr. Potter's when she was sick in 1863, on one occasion, and she was sitting up in a chair, sick, on another occasion, and she was sitting up in a chair, sick, on another occasion, and she was sitting up in a chair, sick, on another occasion.

MR. DALY'S TESTIMONY CONTINUED.

Mr. Daly - I know nothing of the character of the house, I called at Mr. Potter's when she was sick in 1863, on one occasion, and she was sitting up in a chair, sick, on another occasion, and she was sitting up in a chair, sick, on another occasion, and she was sitting up in a chair, sick, on another occasion.